Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: correction of secondary causes.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Thomas M. Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: correction of secondary causes. Nephrology 2006 Apr;11(S1):S194-5.

Thomas M. Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: correction of secondary causes. Westmead NSW (Australia): CARI - Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment; 2005 Sep. 3 p. [8 references]

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis RECOMMENDATIONS
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS
BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY
DISCLAIMER

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

- Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis
- End-stage kidney disease

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Management Treatment

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Family Practice Internal Medicine Nephrology Pediatrics

INTENDED USERS

Physicians

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

To evaluate the available clinical evidence pertaining to the impact of diseasespecific interventions on renal functional decline in patients with secondary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis

TARGET POPULATION

Adults and children with focal segmental glomerulosclerosis

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

Treatment*

Disease-specific interventions in patients with secondary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGC)

- Highly active antiretroviral therapy in patients with HIV-FSGS
- Therapy of malignancy in patients with tumor-induced FSGS
- Thymectomy in patients with thymoma
- Elimination of parasites in schistosomiasis-associated FSGS
- Elimination of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection in patients with HCVassociated FSGS
- Weight loss in obesity-associated FSGS

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

- Proteinuria
- Delay in progression to end-stage kidney disease

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

^{*}Considered but not recommended

Databases searched: MeSH terms and text words for focal segmental glomerulosclerosis were combined with MeSH terms relating to secondary causes. This search was carried out in Medline (1996 to September Week 2, 2004).

Date of search: 17 September 2004.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Levels of Evidence

Level I: Evidence obtained from a systematic review of all relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs)

Level II: Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed RCT

Level III: Evidence obtained from well-designed pseudo-randomized controlled trials (alternate allocation or some other method); comparative studies with concurrent controls and allocation not randomized, cohort studies, case-control studies, interrupted time series with a control group; comparative studies with historical control, two or more single arm studies, interrupted time series without a parallel control group

Level IV: Evidence obtained from case series, either post-test or pretest/post-test

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not stated

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Comparison with Guidelines from Other Groups Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

<u>Recommendations of Others</u>. Recommendations regarding blood pressure control targets in chronic kidney disease from the following groups were discussed: Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative, UK Renal Association, Canadian Society of Nephrology, European Best Practice Guidelines, and International Guidelines.

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

Definitions for the levels of evidence (I–IV) can be found at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Guidelines

No recommendations possible based on Level I or II evidence

Suggestions for Clinical Care

(Suggestions are based on Level III and IV sources)

There are several case series documenting improvements in proteinuria and delay in progression to end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) following disease-specific interventions in patients with secondary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS). (Level IV evidence, variable response, anecdotal reports)

- Anecdotal case reports suggest the potential for dramatic improvement in both renal function and structure in patients with HIV-FSGS with the use of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). There are currently no wellcontrolled studies demonstrating the effect of long-term HAART on renal outcomes.
- Effective therapy of the malignancy may lead to remission of proteinuria in the rare patient with tumour-induced FSGS.
- FSGS may not regress after thymectomy in patients with thymoma.

- FSGS does not remit on successful elimination of the living parasites in schistosomiasis-associated FSGS.
- There are case reports where elimination of Hepatitis C (HCV) infection has been associated with remission of proteinuria in patients with HCV-associated FSGS.
- Obesity-associated FSGS may be improved by weight loss and improvement of insulin sensitivity.

To be most effective, therapy needs to be given to patients with early histological lesions and mild proteinuria/renal impairment, hence the need for prompt identification of any underlying illness if patients are to be managed successfully. (Level IV evidence, anecdotal reports)

Definitions:

Levels of Evidence

Level I: Evidence obtained from a systematic review of all relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs)

Level II: Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed RCT

Level III: Evidence obtained from well-designed pseudo-randomized controlled trials (alternate allocation or some other method); comparative studies with concurrent controls and allocation not randomized, cohort studies, case-control studies, interrupted time series with a control group; comparative studies with historical control, two or more single arm studies, interrupted time series without a parallel control group

Level IV: Evidence obtained from case series, either post-test or pretest/post-test

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see "Major Recommendations").

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

 Appropriate management of patients with focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) Improvements in proteinuria and delay in progression to end-stage kidney disease following disease-specific interventions in patients with secondary FSGS

POTENTIAL HARMS

- Improvement in proteinuria
- Progression to end-stage kidney disease

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

An implementation strategy was not provided.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Living with Illness

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Thomas M. Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: correction of secondary causes. Nephrology 2006 Apr;11(S1):S194-5.

Thomas M. Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: correction of secondary causes. Westmead NSW (Australia): CARI - Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment; 2005 Sep. 3 p. [8 references]

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

2006 Apr

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment - Disease Specific Society

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

Industry-sponsored funding administered through Kidney Health Australia

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

Not stated

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Author: Merlin Thomas

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All guideline writers are required to fill out a declaration of conflict of interest.

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the <u>Caring</u> for <u>Australasians with Renal Impairment Web site</u>.

Print copies: Available from Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment, Locked Bag 4001, Centre for Kidney Research, Westmead NSW, Australia 2145

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following is available:

• The CARI guidelines. A guide for writers. Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment. 2006 May. 6 p.

Electronic copies: Available from the <u>Caring for Australasians with Renal</u> Impairment (CARI) Web site.

PATIENT RESOURCES

None available

NGC STATUS

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on June 4, 2008.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

DISCLAIMER

NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

Copyright/Permission Requests

Date Modified: 7/27/2009

